

Modular And Multifunctional Systems in the New Millennium Program

Prepared by the New Millennium Program's
Modular and Multifunctional Systems (MAMS)
Integrated Product Development Team

ABSTRACT

NASA's vision for science exploration in the next century is based on frequent, affordable missions enabled by small, 10W-COSI autonomous spacecraft. Through the New Millennium Program (NMP), NASA is establishing a new and highly integrated approach to developing and flight-validating technologies that meet these goals. Enabling the mission scenarios envisioned and the overarching goal of reducing life-cycle costs presents significant challenges across all aspects of spacecraft design, implementation, and operation.

The NMP has identified Modular and Multifunctional Systems (MAMS) technologies as addressing key capability needs for the new millennium. MAMS technologies fall into four general categories: i) materials and structures, ii) power and propulsion, iii) planetary surface interface systems, and iv) *Process Millennia*, or term for advanced computer-aided engineering design tools, development methods and environments. The MAMS team has developed specific technology road maps and plans for each of these four technology areas. This paper describes these technologies and outlines our plans for their flight validation.

Our technologies help to fulfill NASA's 21st century mission needs in different but synergistically supportive ways. For example, propulsion and power systems that deliver high specific energy and high specific power at low cost will provide NASA with rapid access throughout the solar system. Advanced materials and structures that deliver low mass, low cost, and low launch volume will allow microspacecraft to deploy large diameter apertures with good surface precision improving power collection, thermal control, communications, and science instruments thereby reducing the cost and mass of new millennium spacecraft. Advanced in-situ science and sample return missions will be made affordable by planetary surface interface systems that provide low cost approaches to sample acquisition and retrieval and low cost carriers for the new generation of in-situ science instruments. Finally, *Process Millennia* will enable the New Millennium vision of fleets of highly capable spacecraft affordably revolutionizing our space science program by tying together all the technologies of the New Millennium to reduce development cycle time and cost while increasing system performance and reliability.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

As described in references 1 and 2, the New Millennium Program (NMP) has been established to accelerate the infusion of breakthrough technologies into NASA space science missions. The goal of this technology infusion is to fulfill the NASA vision of frequent, low-cost missions to deep space and to planet Earth. The NMP is organized into Integrated Product Development Teams (IPDTs) and Flight Teams. IPDTs have the role of identifying and prioritizing candidate technologies for validation by the New Millennium Program and developing technology road maps or long range plans of how the technologies will be infused into NASA space science missions. Flight Teams execute missions to validate these technologies by incorporating them as functional subsystems, components, or experiments.

Three of the missions will be deep space planetary missions and three will Earth orbital. Missions are designated DS-1 through DS-3 and EO-1 through EO-3 where DS stands for Deep Space and EO stands for Earth orbital. For a preliminary description of time

missions see reference 3. Reference 2 includes a description of the process whereby technologies are selected for a given mission and provides an overview of the NMP.

The present paper addresses the technologies under consideration by the Modular and Multifunctional Systems (MAMS) IPDT and the role of the team. To this end, Section II describes the technical charter of the MAMS IPDT and its membership. The next four sections of the paper provide a technical description of the four major technology areas the MAMS team is working in. For example, Section II.1, *Breakthroughs in Space Structures*, describes the materials and structures technology the MAMS team has identified as promising the low mass, low cost, and low launch volume required for typical 21st century space missions. These structures will reduce the mass of spacecraft and enable the development of apertures that are large in area, possess good surface precision, and are compatible with small spacecraft launched by small rockets. Applications of these apertures include power collection, thermal control, telecommunications, and science.

Section IV, *Breakthroughs in Propulsion and Power*, describes technologies that deliver high specific energy and specific power at low cost. These technologies are critical to enabling the New Millennium goal of providing rapid access throughout the solar system for low cost microspacecraft. Section V, *Planetary Surface Interface Systems*, addresses the problem of enabling low cost access to the surface of small bodies or planets. These technology are critical to the vision of affordable sample return missions. Advanced computer-aided engineering design tools, development methods, and environments that reduce development cycle time and cost while increasing system performance and reliability is the subject of Section VI, *Process Millennia*.

The *Summary and Conclusions* section provides a top level overview of the MAMS team's technology road maps and a summary of the team's status in implementing our road maps.

II. MAMS TEAM'S TECHNICAL CHARTER AND MEMBERSHIP

The technical domain of the MAMS team includes those areas of engineering and technology that effect the performance of space flight systems in terms of energy, aperture, information or payload fraction. In addition, we are responsible for ensuring that the NMP develops or utilizes the best possible tools and methods that can reduce 21st century program cost, mission development time, and/or risk. Figure 1 is a Venn diagram that attempts to capture the spirit of our charter.




Figure 1- Conceptualization of MAMS Team's Technical Charter

We chose a Venn diagram to depict our charter because it communicates the interdependency of each of these areas. For example, if we develop and validate a

technology that allows the figures of merit for spacecraft apertures to improve -say by reducing mass, package volume, or cost- this will by necessity improve the figures of merit in the areas of energy and information. Specifically, a better collecting aperture will allow solar concentrators to weigh less and will therefore increase the specific energy or specific power of the spacecraft power system. If the same improvement in structures technology can be applied to radio frequency telecommunications antennas, more information can be sent back from the spacecraft faster, or at a reduced cost to the Deep Space Network.

This said, let us now consider each of the five technical areas that MAMS is working on. Starting at the top of Figure 1, the term *aperture* refers to spacecraft surfaces that collect, focus, reflect, or transmit radiation. These can be antennas, solar collectors, diffraction limited optics for science instruments, thermal radiators, sun shields, or photon buckets that concentrate but do not focus radiation. The figures of merit for these types of apertures are surface precision, reflectivity and absorptivity, mass per unit deployed area, and packaged volume per unit deployed area. We intend to tackle head-on the fundamental question regarding apertures for small spacecraft, "given that the laws of physics relate the performance of many space systems to aperture diameter, how can spacecraft of the 21st century be lighter and cheaper than today's spacecraft without sacrificing performance?". We believe the answer to this question lies in part in improving the figures of merit of our apertures through the application of breakthrough technologies in materials, structures, and mechanisms.

Moving to the left in Figure 1 we encounter the area of energy. The MAMS team is concerned with two kinds of energy, electrical and orbital. The challenge with electrical energy is to provide low cost, high performance power for spacecraft operating throughout the solar system. This is especially critical for deep space missions where solar intensity at large distances from the sun drops to tiny fractions of the solar intensity at the Earth's distance from the sun. To keep cost down, we'd like to minimize or eliminate the use of expensive radioisotope fuels.

If we can develop very low cost yet high performance solar power technology, we can use electric propulsion to improve performance in the area of orbital energy. Studies have shown that electric propulsion can allow small spacecraft to explore the entire solar system faster and at a fraction of the cost of conventional deep space transportation systems^{X,Y}. Electric propulsion is therefore a critical focus of the MAMS team. However, even with a fully developed electric propulsion technology, there will still be a need for conventional chemical propulsion. For this reason we are also working on a very light weight, low cost modular chemical propulsion technology.

Many people believe that some function of cost, schedule, risk, and technical performance represents an envelope across which a project or mission manager cannot travel. Said another way, "you can't improve on cost, schedule, risk, and technical performance at the same time." We believe this perspective is wrong. Effectively used, it is obvious that today's engineering tools can allow us to work better, faster, and cheaper simultaneously with building projects that are less risky than we could with the engineering tools of a decade, or even a year ago. The challenge is to identify or develop the best tools for the job, create an environment where engineers and scientists can work together effectively as a team, anti design processes that make the best possible use of these people, tools, and environments. We are facing this challenge with an activity we call *Process Millennia*. *Process Millennia* is central to our team's thinking and is called out as "Methods" in Figure 1.

We are pursuing advancements in the areas of aperture, energy, and methods primarily because of their benefits in information return and payload fraction. Payload fraction is defined as the ratio of the payload mass to that of the total flight system mass. It is not unusual for space science missions, especially planetary deep space missions, to possess payload fractions of only a few percent^{XXV}. This is true because of the large post injection delta-Vs typically required for planetary missions and because the flight systems have to operate at highly variable distances from the Earth and Sun. Through developments in the MAMS technology areas we intend to dramatically increase payload fraction, which will in turn increase the cost effectiveness of space science missions directly by reducing launch costs and indirectly by reducing development costs. In this way we will allow NASA missions to obtain more science information and return it to the Earth faster and less expensively.

TEAM COMPOSITION

Eleven team members and two co leads comprise the MAMS team. Each member represents his home institution and its capabilities but each brings his own expertise and experience to the team process. Among the MAMS team members we have well over 200 years of scientific and engineering experience, 10 Ph.D.'s, three full professors, and four senior corporate executives. The judgments contained in this paper were developed by this team working collaboratively over the past several months. Team members and their affiliations are listed below:

Joel Serce, Team Co Lead
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

Brantley Hanks
NASA Langley Research Center

William Boynton, Team Co Lead
University of Arizona

Costa Cassapakis, Inflatable Structures
L'Garde inc.

Edward Crawley, Process Millennia
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Michael Curcio, Advanced Silicon Carbide
SSC, Incorporated

Alok Das, Spacecraft Bus Technologies
USAF Philips Laboratory

William Hayden, System Applications
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

David King, Propulsion
Olin Aerospace Company

Lee Peterson, Precision Deployables
University of Colorado

Suraj Rawal, Multifunctional Structures
Lockheed Martin Astronautics

Thomas Reddy, Energy Storage
Yardney Technical Products

Joseph Sovie, Power Systems
Lewis Research Center

11.1. BREAKTHROUGHS IN SPACE STRUCTURES

The MAMS team has identified several materials, structures, or mechanisms technologies that have great promise for delivering lower mass, lower cost, and lower launch volume space systems for the new millennium. These structures will reduce the mass of 21st century spacecraft and enable the development of apertures that are large in area but with good surface precision. Applications of these apertures fall into the areas of microspacecraft power collection, thermal control, telecommunications, and science instruments.

Specific technologies the MAMS team is working on in this area include Multi-Functional Structures (MFS), advanced forms of silicon carbide (SiC), precision deployable structures, and inflatables. MFSs are a breakthrough combining electronics packaging with power and data distribution. Advanced forms of silicon carbide represents an exciting possibility for higher levels of structural integration on 21st century spacecraft. The precision deployable structures we are working on have the long range potential to enable Hubble telescope scale optics to be incorporated into satellites launched on a Pegasus-class rockets. Finally, precision inflatable structures represent a major breakthrough in deploying high quality apertures for solar power collection, antennas, sun shields, and radiators.

MULTIFUNCTIONAL STRUCTURES

NASA's vision for the 21st century includes the launch and operation of large numbers of low mass, low cost space assets. The fulfillment of this vision calls for an order-of-magnitude reduction in flight system mass relative to current spacecraft designs. Concentrating solely on structural mass reduction will not meet this need because structure typically represents as little as 10-15% of total system mass¹. Miniaturization of avionics per se further reduces mass, but not the large parasitic mass associated with avionics containers, cables, structural support of packaged avionics, or connectors. These parasitic components can contribute as much as 50% of the mass of space science spacecraft².

in flight system payload mass, an order-of-magnitude reduction in flight system development costs, and it frees internal spacecraft volume thereby simplifying system level integration and test.

Conventional cables and connectors are typically comprised mostly of large and bulky enclosures that do not serve a direct electrical function for the spacecraft but are required to provide structural support and allow handling during integration and test. MFS eliminates these bulky components and enables the integration of electronic subsystems such as the data transmission and power distribution networks, command and data handling (C&DH) subsystem, thermal management, and load handling. Proprietary Lockheed Martin technologies allow MFSs to be fully reworkable, be less expensive than conventional cabling systems, require much less touch labor, and be much more




Figure 2- MFS Panel Including Structural Panel With Integral Thermal Control, Polyimide hex circuitry, MCM Sockets, and Formed Cover Providing Electromagnetic Shielding and Protection.

The solution to this dilemma can be found in Multi-Functional Structures (MFS), a new approach to electronics packaging, interconnect, and data & power distribution which integrates these functions with those of bearing mechanical loads and providing thermal control. The MFS concept involves embedding passive electronic components within the actual volume of composite materials, new approaches to attaching active electronic components directly to mechanical surfaces, and using surface areas for mounting sensors and transducers.

MFS offers inherent modularity and directly incorporates functions such as data transmission, thermal control, and power distribution into structural panels. In so doing, it eliminates the need for bulky cables, connectors, and chassis such as those used in conventional spacecraft. This allows a 75% increase

compatible with envisioned production lines of small, low-cost spacecraft, whether they be one of a kind or of a standardized design.

As shown in Figure 2, the data transmission and power distribution network is constructed on thin multi-layer copper/polyimide (Cu/PI) circuit patches which are bonded onto the structural panel with an adhesive. This intimate contact to the structural surface provides good mechanical performance and enables integral thermal control. Multi-Chip-Modules (MCMs) are mounted directly on the structural panel via an interface pad. This approach is fully compatible with either two dimensional MCMs or with the three dimensional packaging being developed by the New Millennium Program's Microelectronics IPT³. The circuit patch virtually eliminates the need for large enclosures or circuit boards.

The MAMS team proposes to build on significant programs funded by other government agencies and internal resources at Lockheed Martin to fly MFSs on every New Millennium mission. We are prepared to fly this technology as early as late 1997. Because spacecraft designs can include both conventional electronic boxes and MFS panels, we are taking an evolutionary path with this technology. On DS-1 we have a modest experiment in which test circuits will be built on a MFS and flown to evaluate in flight performance. Our DS-1 MFS work has progressed beyond the preliminary design stage and is well into detailed design. Laboratory model hardware has successfully passed both thermal and vibration tests. We hope to have a more significant MFS system on DS-2 and plan to utilize MFSs to enable a completely cableless system on DS-3 and all NASA space science missions of the 21st century.

ADVANCED FORMS OF SILICON CARBIDE

Silicon carbide's extraordinary inherent thermal stability (>35 times better than ultra-low expansion glasses) has been exploited for many years in the manufacture of water-cooled, high energy laser mirrors. Only recently has the beryllium-like specific stiffness (within 6%) of the bulk material been applied to the advancement of lightweight instrument technologies. Development of more durable, less ceramic forms has permitted the optical engineering, process optimization, and implementation of ultra-lightweight instrument concepts like the Planetary Integrated Camera Spectrometer which are nearly immune to the hostile thermal environment of near earth and deep space³. For example, hundredth of a visible wave thermal stability over a nearly 150K excursion has been demonstrated.

The potential for a quantum leap in low-mass spacecraft technology has been made available by the further development of a mono-material composite form of silicon carbide. This commercially-proven form offers reduced mass and CTE (coefficient-of-thermal expansion) compatibility with the bulk optical form used in advanced instruments. In addition, the composite form is robust, machinable, and preliminary measurements suggest that it possesses damping characteristics 4 to 10 times better than the equivalently configured metal or graphite epoxy. Although it is premature to assume that this high damping can be reproduced in large production samples, these measurements are very intriguing.

The unique combination of features found in composite SiC may enable the vision of using spacecraft buses as the optical bench for remote sensing instruments (cameras, spectrometers, imaging spectrometers, etc.) and for optical communications system, as depicted in Figure 3. This sensorcraft concept offers a 2-10X savings in mass via superior material properties and the elimination of components

in the form of redundant structures and interfaces. Utilizing the results of a parallel, on-going advance in optical communications technology, the functions of spacecraft bus, communications transmit, communications receive, and remote sensing can be combined into one highly integrated, ultra-lightweight package. The New Millennium Program Offers the opportunity to realize and flight qualify this vision in a series of steps demonstrating structural interface, optical bench, and complete spacecraft functionality.




Figure 4 - The sensorcraft concept fully exploits the unique features of advanced SiC materials in an integrated systems design to capture a 2-10X reduction in overall flight system mass

PRECISION DEPLOYABLE STRUCTURES

Perhaps the greatest technical challenge for packaging science instruments into small spacecraft is deploying large optics on orbit. No amount of improvement in focal plane instrument sensitivity can overcome the limiting resolution of small apertures. This is especially true for missions such as Earth resource mapping, in which the resolution can determine the scientific and commercial value of the measurements.

Recent advances in precision deployable structures technologies will lead to a revolutionary new capability for mechanically deploying large aperture optics from compact packages. Through advances in high precision mechanisms, lightweight actuators and latches, ground test, analysis and qualification methods, and lightweight optical mirrors, this technology will advance the state-of-the-practice by several orders-of-magnitude. In comparison with Hubbell-era technology, this technology is 50 times lighter and several hundred times less expensive⁴.

Figure 4 is a depiction of a typical precision deployable structure. Key to the low cost and high

performance of this technology is its compatibility with conceptually simple mechanisms in conjunction with advanced, low CTE materials such as SiC and lightweight optics.

Particularly important recent advances include:

- Lightweight, sub-micron hysteretic hinge mechanisms with less than 0.5 in-oz of friction
- Lightweight composite mirror panels with $1 < \lambda$ diffraction limited precision and areal masses of 5 kg/m^2
- New ground test and modeling methods which can predict the on-orbit deployed shape to within approximately a micron
- New kinematically simple deployment concepts for deploying lightweight but mechanically stiff metering trusses, booms, and backplanes
- Lightweight advanced actuators and latches using paraffin and shape memory allow

- New low CTE materials such as silicon carbide and machinable polymers

The benefits of validating this technology on NMP will extend to many aggressive science missions of the 21st century. Applications include advanced LIDAR instruments, high frequency (100 GHz+) atmospheric sounding and deployed components for deep space interferometers. Other missions enabled by this technology include: deep IR galaxy imaging telescopes; extreme UV spectrograph telescopes; next generation x-ray telescopes; sparse aperture Earth imagers; and advanced soil moisture radiometers. Deploying large optics from small spacecraft is critical to 21st century science missions, but the perceived risk in the absence of flight validation prevents their consideration. By validating this technology, NMP will directly enable science missions otherwise inconsiderable.




Figure 4- Precision Deployable Structures Enable a Hubble Class Aperture to Be Deployed From a Package Compatible With A Small Launch Vehicle

PRECISION INFLATABLES

The term "precision inflatable space structures" connotes ultralightweight closed-surface structures with millimeter to submillimeter rms surface accuracies which can take shape in space through controlled inflation. These structures include military space targets in a variety of shapes and cylindrical deployment-and-support booms for instruments, solar arrays, sun shades, or gravity gradient stabilization. In addition, lenticular structures can be built to form solar concentrators and antennas for communications systems, radars, or radio-telescopes.

These structures achieve ultra low mass through the use of a combination of state-of-the-art, commercially available structural films (e.g. polyimids and polyesters) and specifically configured thin-film laminates. In short duration applications the structure can be designed to stay inflated for the entirety of the mission. In long duration missions they are inflated, then rigidified in space using one of several physical or chemical processes. High precision is achieved by cutting the structural films or laminates in gores of predetermined shapes to form the proper surface of revolution at the design pressure. Gore shape determination involves highly specialized finite element methods that treat the non-linearities present in the materials properties and the unique mathematical challenge of determining the shape of a film which is loaded in two dimensions and unconstrained in out of plane motion.

The advantages of precision inflatable space structures are very relevant to the goals and objective of the New Millennium Program: They can be a factor of 2 to 5 lighter, occupy a stowage volume at least 10 times smaller, and (as in the case of large diameter antennas) cost one to two orders-of-magnitude less to fabricate than their mechanical counterparts. The small packaging volume and mass can sometimes provide a very significant cost savings because it can enable a reduction in the required launch vehicle size. Another extremely important advantage is high deployment reliability, which is possible because deployment typically depends on the proper functioning of a single solenoid valve which can be placed in a parallel redundant configuration. This high inherent reliability of inflation systems explains why most terrestrial systems requiring high functional reliability (such as life-vests, life-boats and airplane escape systems, for instance) are also inflatable.

Great progress has been made in the last 30 years (see Figure 5) since the inflatable Echo satellite series was launched by NASA. There have been over 100 inflatable objects flown by I'Garde, Inc. in several shell-duration (101) missions. Through these missions I'Garde has gathered and analyzed a large quantity of data on the design, test, fabrication, handling, packaging, deployment, thermal, and

dynamic behavior of inflatable structures. In the case of precision inflatable reflectors, ground test systems have been built and measured for surface accuracy and, recently, a 3-meter diameter ground test unit gave surface accuracies of 0.67 mm rms, extending the technology into the Ka frequency band. Two years ago the first inflatable solar array structure was successfully deployed by inflation and tested in the NRI thermal vacuum chamber ^X.

In May 1996 a collaborative effort between I'Garde, JPL, and NASA will culminate in the deployment and flight of a 14 meter diameter inflatable reflector (known as the Inflatable Antenna Experiment) on board S'1'S-77 (see schematic included in Figure 5). The flight will demonstrate successful deployment of this large reflector and will measure several parameters of interest including on-orbit surface accuracy vs. thermal loading in various sunlight conditions.

For the New Millennium Program, the MAMS teams proposes that I'Garde collaborate with JPL, other NASA centers, and the USAF Phillips laboratory to fly several inflatable structures validation experiments:

- low cost piggy back experiments to demonstrate the deployment accuracy and on Orbit characteristics of simple structures such as booms and sun shades,
- ultra-lightweight operational antennas in the X-band and possibly higher frequencies for telecommunications, synthetic aperture radar, or passive soil radiometry,
- advanced inflatable solar arrays, and eventually
- the dual use reflector (for communications and power) known as the "Power Antenna",

The latter, if its development and flight prove successful, could eventually replace nuclear sources as the power system of choice for deep space missions. The flight of these systems will be the most important steps towards the acceptance and widespread use by the space community of these truly revolutionary and highly efficient structures.

Power Antenna feasibility has been shown in a NASA Phase 1 SBIR ^X. A recently initiated Phase 2 SBIR follow on will address minimization of reflector pressure in RF and solar concentration ground tests. This, along with strong leveraging, from other inflatable antenna programs, will further reduce the insolation level at which Power Antennas can function to the 3-5 w/m² level making it possible to consider all solar missions to the outer solar system. The MAMS team is collectively working to identify technology development funds to complete a full-up ground test of the Power Antenna circa 1998-1999. A flight test unit could be available for a New Millennium technology validation flight circa 2000-2001. If successful, this flight will open the door to all solar powered missions to the outer solar system in the 21st century.




Figure 2- The Past, Present, and Near Future of Precision Inflatable Structures

IV. BREAKTHROUGHS IN PROPULSION AND POWER

Propulsion and power are two of the most important keys to cost effective space science missions. These two subsystems together comprise over 50% of the mass and 30% of the cost of a typical deep space orbiter type spacecraft. The problems of propulsion and power will become even more acute in the new millennium as deep space missions are asked to go farther from the Sun and get to their destinations faster.

In the area of propulsion, the MAMS team is working with its member from the Olin Aerospace Company (OAC) to pursue two technologies with promise for New Millennium mission architectures: the COMPACT Hydrazine Propulsion System (COMP) and miniature Pulsed Plasma Thruster (PPT) systems. Both of these technologies are modular and easy to integrate onto a variety of spacecraft bus designs. Both also offer the capability of being delivered and integrated into the spacecraft fully loaded. Beyond these systems the MAMS team is planning validation activities for advanced SEP systems that build upon the success of NSTAR which will validate solar electric ion propulsion on DS-1 to provide even




Figure 6- COMP Propulsion System Benefits and Schematic

shorter trip times, reduced launch vehicle requirements, and higher payload fractions.

COMP

The COMP system is an outgrowth of OAC internal research and development focused on reducing the cost and weight of hydrazine system components. It offers a totally self-contained hydrazine propulsion system capable of 40,000 N-s of total impulse. As shown in Figure 6, the system uses flight-proven OAC 0.889 N thrusters in a three unit rocket engine module. The envelope of the system is designed to be compatible with small spacecraft designs. Tank size will vary with mission requirements, but the typical size for the 40,000 N-s system is 46 cm in length by 20 cm in diameter. Two of these modules provide total three-axis control for small spacecraft ACS.

The COMP system can also be configured to provide main propulsion for small spacecraft. Because it is designed to be fully self-contained, the interfaces with the spacecraft are minimized. The system also offers the advantage of having an extremely low recurring cost once the basic configuration has been established. In quantities of 10 or more, costs are anticipated to be approximately \$150,000 for the complete dry system. COMP is designed to be fueled at the factory and delivered to the customer fully fueled, ready to be mounted and integrated into the spacecraft for launch. This can also enable a significant operational cost and schedule savings.

THE PULSED PLASMA THRUSTER

The Pulsed Plasma Thruster (PPT) system is another answer to NMP mission needs for small, low-cost propulsion systems. Like the COMP, it is also fully self-contained and requires only a minimum of interfaces with the spacecraft. The PPT is an electric

propulsion device utilizing the interaction of current and self-induced magnetic field to ablate, ionize, and accelerate solid Teflon fuel. The Teflon undergoes phase changes into gas and then plasma as it is ablated, a few monolayers at a time. The constituents of the plasma are ionized and accelerated by the energy present in the electrical discharge. The energy required is stored within the PPT system in an energy storage capacitor, which is charged by any 28 volt unregulated DC bus capable of providing a few tens of watts of power.

Figure 7 shows a schematic of the PPT system and a model of the miniature PPT system. The system is inherently simple and reliable, having only one moving part, the fuel bar, which is fed with a spring into the breech of the discharge chamber. The principal challenges being addressed in NASA-funded technology development are reducing the system mass dramatically and improving the thrust efficiency of the PPT. Within the framework of the MAMS team and the NMP architecture, the PPT offers a candidate for a truly miniature thruster system capable of meeting the propulsion requirements of a 21st century nano-spacecraft.




Figure 7. The Pulse Plasma Thruster, Schematic Diagram and Model

The chief technical benefits of the PPT relative to chemical thrusters are its increased specific impulse (1000-15(K)s) and reduced minimum impulse bit (100-500 $\mu\text{N}\cdot\text{s}$). This minimum impulse bit is two orders of magnitude less than that of chemical propulsion systems and it promises to revolutionize our approach to precision station keeping and fine scale attitude control. For example, a PPT with a 200 $\mu\text{N}\cdot\text{s}$ minimum impulse bit could easily provide control for precision station keeping of a constellation of 200kg spacecraft to the 100 μm level. This is in contrast to the 1cm level of control typically assumed in NASA studies of advanced interferometer constellations.

Because the PPT is truly enabling to the NASA vision of constellations of microspacecraft forming 21st century interferometers that can provide multi-pixel images of Earth-like planets in nearby solar systems, the MAMS IPDT intends to flight validate advanced 1'1'1' thruster technology on New Millennium missions. First we plan to conduct a piggy back flight of Ibis technology on an Air Force technology demonstration satellite in 1998 to validate the low contamination of optical surfaces we expect from this device. We then intend to provide a full suite of PPTs to the DS-3 flight team as the primary station keeping and attitude control thruster system for DS-3. This will provide DS-3 with significantly enhanced

performance and the opportunity to fully validate a technology that enables NASA vision of 21st century interferometer missions.

OTHER ADVANCED PROPULSION TECHNOLOGIES

We believe that Solar 1 Electric Propulsion (SEP) is the key to rapid low cost access of space science spacecraft to the entire solar system. The first step to fulfilling the New Millennium vision in this area is the flight validation of the NASA SEP Technology Application Readiness (NSTAR) ion propulsion system on DS-1. However, NSTAR represents not an end, but a beginning of the age of electric drives for rapid exploration of the solar system. Our long range road map for electric propulsion technology envisions significant advancements beyond NSTAR for SEP. Particularly important steps on our long range SEP road map include:

- High performance ion engines capitalizing on slotted carbon-car fiber grid technology,
- High specific impulse, long life plasma engines,
- Direct drive SEP systems in which high voltage solar arrays are linked directly to plasma engines or advanced ion engines using state-of-the-art switching technology to eliminate electric propulsion power processors, and
- Miniaturized, modular electric propulsion systems that are compatible with microspacecraft of any size.

Of these advancements the greatest performance leap is that offered by direct drive systems which have the promise of delivering spacecraft to the outer solar system with trip times of 5 to 7 years using Medium class launch vehicles. The concept of direct drive SEP based on advanced plasma engines was recently conceived independently by JPL and JCRCL and demonstrated in the laboratory at JPL. In the JPL demonstration, which was funded by internal discretionary resources, a direct drive power switching unit (PSU) drove a D-55 Thruster with Anode Layer (PAL) at 300 V and 1.5 A. The system performed flawlessly and the PSU performed at an efficiency of 99%. At the beginning of FY 1996 JPL will perform additional testing to increase the direct-drive PSU power and shut-down capabilities and hopes to test the PSU on a solar simulator that will be provided by another national laboratory.

THE SCARLET SOJ, ALL ARRAY

All New Millennium missions share one common element the need for power. Most of the missions including the first deep space flight will use solar arrays as power sources. The array mass and cost is especially important for the first deep space mission because it uses electric propulsion that needs large panels producing many kilowatts.

BMDO will develop a 2.6 kW SCARLET type array system for the NMJ first deep space mission. The Solar Concentrator Array with Refractive Linear Element Technology (SCARLET) is a new technology that holds promise for halving the recurring array cost while decreasing the array mass by 50% and size by 30%. In addition, the array is highly suitable for high radiation missions due to its mass not being very sensitive to the radiation hardness requirements.

The linear concentrator array takes advantage of technologies working in tandem: flexible Fresnel lens, dual junction cells and low mass structure. Concentrator technology allows arrays to have much lower cell area for a given power level. The configuration of the SCARLET lens system is depicted in Figure 8.




Figure 8 - SCARLET is based on linear convex fresnel concentrators that reduce cell area by more than an order of magnitude.

Because array costs are traditionally dominated by cell costs, the availability of array systems which use only 1/10th of the cell area of a comparably sized planar (flat-area) array can reduce the cost for twenty first century missions by a factor of two. The SCARLET array can provide mass savings depending on the severity of the mission's radiation environment because high-radiation protection is necessarily very massive and a much smaller cell area means a smaller area to protect. This feature is of greatest value in high radiation, Van Allen belt-flying missions, such as electric propulsion orbit raising.

The SCARLET technology also enables more cost effective employment of developmental high efficiency solar cells such as 22% efficient GaInP₂/GaAs/Gc cells. Since these cells will be relatively expensive, at least in the near term, the cost benefit derived from cell area is more critical. Additionally, the SCARLET technology can be used to mitigate the debilitating effects of interplanetary distances on solar cell

efficiency. These *LLT* (Low Intensity, Low Temperature) effects increase the size and hence, cost of a solar array for a multi-AU (Mars and beyond) spacecraft.

The team developing the array consists of BMDO, AEC Able, Entech, ASEC, NASA Lewis and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory ⁸. We believe that the low cost and high performance of the SCARLET array will draw many users among early twenty first century scientific and commercial mission managers.

OTHER ADVANCED ARRAY TECHNOLOGIES

Already developed inflation and hybridization techniques have demonstrated inflatable deployed and supported thin-film substrate solar arrays capable of producing power densities of about 140 W/kg using crystalline silicon cells. Advanced hybridization techniques under development by existing programs will be completed in late 1996 or early 1997. The MAMS team will work together to identify technology funds to demonstrate 200 W/kg for array sizes exceeding 1200 watts with silicon cell technology and over 300 W/kg when advanced cell designs are manufactured in large quantities. A qualification unit should be available by 1999 with a flight unit ready by 2000.

LITHIUM ION BATTERY

Rechargeable lithium batteries offer significant performance and cost advantages compared to SOA Ni-Cd and Ni-H₂ batteries and will enable the required miniaturization of energy storage subsystem for NM. The performance advantages include higher specific energy, energy density, cell voltage, coulombic and energy efficiency, low self discharge rate, and lower battery costs compared to the SOA Ni-Cd and Ni-H₂ batteries. These advantages translate into several benefits including reduced mass and volume of the energy storage subsystem, improved reliability, extended mission life, and lower power system life cycle costs.

Lithium batteries have 2-4 times higher specific energy (Wh/kg) and energy density (Wh/l) than the SOA advanced versions of the nickel-cadmium (Ni-Cd) and nickel-hydrogen (Ni-H₂) batteries presently being used in various satellites. Further, Li batteries have two times the specific energy of the SOA Ni-MH batteries. Lithium cells have significantly higher cell voltage and therefore require fewer cells per battery than SOA battery systems. For example, some of the lithium cells deliver 4.1 volts/cell or over three times the voltage of Ni-Cd cells of 1.2 volts/cell. On this basis, a 28 volt battery system will require only 8 lithium cells compared with 22 cells for a Ni-Cd battery. In addition, lithium cells have significantly

higher coulombic and energy efficiency compared to SOA batteries. Higher energy efficiency of these batteries will enable reduction of the solar array size. Lithium cells exhibit much lower self discharge compared to SOA batteries.

Lithium batteries represent savings to space missions. The savings are associated with: a) lower battery cost, and b) lower launch costs. It is projected that lithium batteries will cost significantly less than Ni-Cd and Ni-H₂ batteries due to use of low cost materials and simpler manufacturing processes. Since more than 50% of the mission cost is for the launch vehicle, reduction of spacecraft mass and volume (resulting from the use of lithium batteries) can therefore dramatically lower the overall mission cost.

The first deep space mission of the NMP will use two Li-ion batteries with the following parameters:

Voltage:	284.5 v
Capacity:	10 Ah
Cycle life:	> 1000 cycles
Operating Temperature:	0- 30 oC
operational life:	> 10 yrs
Specific Energy (Wh/Kg):	> 100"
Energy Density (Wh/l):	> 160
Mass (kg)	> 3.1

The team developing the batteries consists of Yardney Technical Products, Air Force Wright Patterson Laboratory and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

V. PLANETARY SURFACE INTERFACE

Sample returns have been the Holy Grail of planetary exploration because they permit very high precision measurements to be made with a multitude of complex laboratory instruments. Because of the high cost, no sample return missions have been conducted except for the Apollo missions to the Moon. The Penetrator Sample Acquisition System (PSAS) is a low cost technology that will open a new era in planetary exploration.

Sample acquisition by means of ballistic penetration affords the opportunity to significantly reduce mass and complexity compared to an independent, autonomous surface lander. By contrast, a penetrator is a simple ballistic device with mechanical designs that permit sample acquisition and release for return to Earth. The penetrator deployment and sample retrieval schemes depend on the types of body to be sampled. For a small, low-gravity body with no atmosphere, e.g. a comet or an asteroid, a spinning penetrator can be deployed at close range and retrieved via a tether. For a large body with an atmosphere, like Mars, the penetrator can be dropped from a lander that carries the return vehicle and a rover, which will also collect other samples for the return vehicle, will retrieve the sample collected via the penetrator.

For a small body, the use of a penetrator to collect samples allows the elimination of the lander and a complex sample acquisition and return system. Thus, a significant savings in mass, spacecraft complexity and size, and propulsion system requirements will result. In the case of Mars, a lander and return-to orbit vehicle is still required, so the gains are less. What is added in this case is the ability to collect deep subsurface samples without the requirement to land large, power intensive drilling mechanisms.

The penetrator concept eliminates the need for an independent, capable lander and the complex, autonomous maneuvers associated with sample retrieval from the surface to the spacecraft. It will permit the collection of multiple samples from the target body at remotely separated sites with little added mass compared to the multiple lander alternative. It significantly reduces the mass and complexity of returning samples to Earth.

In addition to simplified technical requirements, significant reductions in total system cost will result, from smaller launch vehicles and reduced lift mass. Synergistic design of a small spacecraft with an integral deployment reel will optimize system volume and mass requirements. Tether spacecraft technology with an integral sampling device represents a revolutionary technical advance. The concept lends itself to sampling multiple sites as well by having multiple penetrator bodies with a single tether and reel mechanism. With little added mass and complexity the penetrator can host a variety of instruments for in-situ measurement. For example, the acceleration of penetration can be measured and reported to establish the material properties (penetrability) of the surface.

The functionality of a hollow core penetrator is not limited to retrieving the sample capsule via a tether. Technology advances in docking maneuvers could create a scenario where a spacecraft would orbit a body, determine the optimal impact site, launch the penetrator without a tether, receive data from the penetrator's instrumentation while orbiting the body for some period of time, then dock and retrieve the sample capsule. Technology advances in miniature in-situ instrumentation could be demonstrated on the first flight if desired.

The application of PSAS to Mars allows a small simple device to collect deep samples and deliver them to the surface without the need of any energy source. The penetrator is completely inert; it has no power source, guidance system, or transmitter. The penetrator falls a few tens of meters up-range from the landing site of the return vehicle and is easily found by the rover. The samples are packaged by the penetrator and ejected onto the surface by a spring-loaded mechanism that is triggered by the release of the high g loads from the impact.

The NMP does not presently include a mission in which a rendezvous with a small body would allow the demonstration of PSAS technology and for that reason it is not presently in the NM1' plan. However, ground based tests conducted by the University of Arizona this summer have shown the technical feasibility of PSAS. It is the hope of the MAMS teams that the technical results of these tests and the important implications of these technologies will motivate NASA to initiate a significant technology program in planetary surface interface systems. With such a program in place it could be possible for low cost, Discovery class, missions to accomplish important in-situ science and sample return missions.

VI. PROCESS MILLENNIA

Part of the vision of the New Millennium Program is to create and validate a seamless process for the conception, design, development, test and operation of the real space missions of the next millennium. This combination of improved process, computer aided environment and state of the art tools is called Process Millennium.

The process will begin by engaging the customer in defining acceptable ranges of product performance, cost and risk. The system and team will then be architected to minimize total life cycle cost. Design, manufacturing and test will be carried out concurrently in an environment which allows instant shared access to all program information. Advanced computer aided tools, standards and best practice will be used. Newly autonomous operation of the spacecraft will follow.

Process Millennium will reduce cost in a number of ways. System and team architecture will be chosen with a more complete understanding of program performance, cost and risk. Engineers will design with a knowledge of cost. The process will be more efficient, with more easily shared information and smaller teams leading to higher quality products. The process will be faster, using standardized practices and products.

A useful analogy can be drawn between the creation of a real spacecraft of the next millennium and the preparation of a meal by a professional chef. Much of the New Millennium Program focuses on developing better meat and potatoes (the new technologies) in order to make the meal better. But like a good meal, the real cost is not in the food stuff, but in the labor. In order to make a meal faster, cheaper and better the entire effort must be examined. Chefs cook in a kitchen (the environment) using appliances (the tools) according to techniques and recipes (the process). A well laid out kitchen can make the job for the team of cooks easier, and the invention of a new appliance, the microwave, allows much faster cooking than previously thought possible.

Not only does a good recipe make a better meal, but from the system perspective buying the food stuffs (supplier relations), making the menu (customer requirements) and ensuring that all dishes arrive hot on the table at the right time (concurrent just in time manufacturing) are all important and labor intensive parts of the process. The cost and speed of a meal prepared in the kitchen can range widely from that of fast food (highly modularized) to a slow expensive but fine French meal (highly customized). Trying to improve the cost of a meal without examining process, environment and tools would be fool-hardy. We recommend a similar examination of the design/build process, environment and tools set for spacecraft.

Specific activities will be directed toward addressing problems identified by the NMP flight teams. Process Millennium applies to all of the NM1' IPDTs. Organizationally, the Process Millennium effort is being lead by the MAMS IPDT. Figure 9 shows how Process Millennium will be infused by the deep space missions of the New Millennium Program.




Figure 9- Process Millennium Infusion Into NMP Deep Space Missions

The potential for large cost savings with implementation of Process Millennium is widely recognized and numerous activities are underway to address the different aspects described above. An NMP-sponsored Workshop was held to identify ongoing activities and their inter-relations with each other. The NMP approach is to build on this existing foundation in a step-by-step manner the NMP plans to implement cost-saving procedures while simultaneously improving the computer-aided environment and associated tools.

For each of these deep space missions a Process Millennium team will be assembled which will function in a manner parallel to the flight team. This Process Millennium team will include JPL, university, and industry members who are technologists, engineers, or designers in some subset of the areas of information systems, autonomy, systems engineering, mechanical engineering, computer aided design, and all the major spacecraft science and subsystem disciplines. For 1 DS-1, this team's job will be to shadow the work of the flight team to capture the end-to-end design and development process. The product of their work will be the software, hardware, and processes needed to conduct future mission design and development activities using dynamic resource management. Dynamic resource management is a relatively new concept for the spacecraft development community in which margins and flight system resources are managed dynamically instead of using the traditional system of allocating resources to subsystems and monitoring its reserves and contingencies.

In DS-2 the Process Millennium team will work more directly with the flight team to develop design tools in parallel to the flight system development. As these tools become useful they will be infused directly into the flight team's design process. The goal of the team will be to develop, by the end of the DS-2 design development phase, an integrated lander design environment that will be generic enough to be used on all future Mars lander missions. The application of advanced probabilistic methods will be an important and critical element of the Process Millennium work with DS-2. Finally, in DS-3 we hope to commingle the Process Millennium team directly with the flight team to accomplish serial infusion of tools and design environments. The final goal of the work with DS-3 will be the development of an Interferometer Design Tool that will allow future designers of interferometers, be they free flying or single spacecraft based, to more easily and less expensively design and analyze their missions.

VII. SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

We have described a wide spectrum of complementary advanced MAMS technologies in terms of their major contributions to NASA's 21st century missions. Materials and structures technologies encompass (1) MFS wherein electronics and structures are integrated

in a way leading ultimately toward cableless spacecraft, (2) advanced thermally-stable SiC materials for use in optical instruments and support structures that greatly enhance the quality of scientific data, (3) precision deployable structures and mechanisms to permit use of science-enhancing large optics on small spacecraft, and (4) inflatables to provide large apertures for low-cost missions at greatly reduced mass and volume as compared to conventional apertures.

Advanced power and propulsion technologies include (1) systematic activities leading toward development of a Power Antenna concept that uses the inflatables technology to deploy a low-mass dual-purpose aperture that serves as both an antenna and solar concentrator for power generation, (2) a low-mass compact hydrazine propulsion system that features a fully-fueled modular design to greatly reduce unit costs while simplifying integration with the spacecraft, (3) an advanced low-mass Pulsed Plasma Thruster characterized by inherent simplicity and reliability while being packaged as a fully-fueled modular unit for ease of integration, (4) an advanced BMDO originated solar concentrator array technology, SOLARIS, that employs fresnel concentrators coupled with efficient solar cells to reduce the quantity of cost-driving solar cells and a low-mass structural design for the cell-to-cell transition arrangement that provides major cost and mass savings, and (5) a rechargeable lithium ion battery that enables miniaturization of the energy storage subsystem while providing major reductions in cost and mass.

Activities in Planetary Surface Interface technologies are focused on developing a technology to fill a key capability need for the 21st century, namely an affordable way to achieve sample return missions. A ballistic penetration system technology capable of providing affordable sample return missions is delineated in design embodiments including penetrator-tether return systems for primitive bodies and use of penetrotors to generate surface-deposited samples coupled with rover sample collection for planets such as Mars.

The MAMS effort includes the leadership of the NMP-wide Process Millennium activity. Process Millennium embodies improved seamless processes from conception through operation and is implemented through use of computer-aided environments employing state-of-the-art tools. Because of the widespread recognition of the very large cost-savings that could accrue, numerous private and governmental programs are underway to develop various aspects of Process Millennium. The effort within NMP is focused on coordination of these different activities, standardization to permit spreading the benefits widely, and implementing the system in stages as it evolves.

Figure 10 is a summary of the MAMS IPDT's road map for the technologies discussed in this paper. Each triangular milestone mark in Figure 10 represents a

	FY 97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01
ENERGY SYSTEMS	▽ SCARLET ▽ LI Ion ▽ COMP ▽ PPT (4kg)	▽ NIH	▽ Advanced Planar (200 w/kg) ▽ LI Polymer ▽ PPT (0.5kg)		▽ Thin Film ▽ Direct D Plasma Engine
MULTIFUNCTIONAL STRUCTURES	▽ FLT 1 Partial Integration		▽ Cableless S/C		
RIGID PRECISION DEPLOYABLES	▽ Actuator Demo	3m IR ▽ Telescope	▽ 4m LIDAR		▽ 5 kg/m ² Optical Telescope
INFLATABLES	▽ 2.5m X Band • Telecom • Power Antenna • LEO Truss Structure	SAR or Solar ▽ Array		▽ Power Antenna Validation	
PLANETARY SURFACE INTERFACE	▽ PSAR		▽		
PROCESS MILLENNIA	▽ Tools Infusion	▽ Methods Infusion	▽ Process Infusion	▽ Tools Infusion	▽ TBD

Figure 10- Simplified Summary of MAMS IPDT '1'ethnology Road Map

recommended technology validation flight of a technology this team has identified, studied, and ranked highly. For each milestone on this chart, the MAMS team evaluated ten to twenty alternative technologies and rejected them on technical grounds. The status of each of these flight validation activity is noted with a color scheme. Blue triangles represent flight validations that are presently funded and in work. Yellow triangles represent activities that are not yet funded but which we believe we have a good prospect of being funded and validated on a New Millennium flight. Red triangles are those technology demonstrations that have been passed over by New Millennium flight selections based on risk or mission compatibility or are not adequately funded, but which the MAMS team still feels are critical to the success of the new Millennium Program. White triangles are special cases not covered by any of these categories.

The achievement of NASA's 21st century vision of employing fleets of small, low-cost, autonomous spacecraft as the basis for affordable missions with large collective science returns, requires technology advances across a broad front. Many of these key technologies fall in the MAMS category and the selected prioritized set of technologies currently being pursued provides a major first-step contribution to NASA's 21st vision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The MAMS team would like to thank the following people for additional contributions in this paper: from JPL Mr. Artur Chmielewski, Mr. Tosh Fujita, Mr. John Peterson; from LARC Ms. Joan Funk; from OAC Mr. Joe Cassidy.

Some of the research described in this paper was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

New Millennium Program Plan, JPL D-12623, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 14 April 1995 (internal document)

Freeman J. Dyson, "21 st Century Spacecraft," *Scientific American*, September 1995.

E. Kane Casani and Barbara W. Wilson, "The New Millennium Program: Technology Development for the 21st Century," AIAA 34th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 96-0696.

Rex W. Ridenoure, "Key Architectural Issues and Trade-Offs For the New Millennium Advanced '1'ethnology Validation Missions," AIAA 34th

Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 96-0701.

Leon Alkalai, John Klein, and Mark Underwood, "The New Millennium Program Microelectronics Systems Advanced Technology Development," AIAA 34th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 96-0697.

Michael Hecht, "Microelectromechanical Systems in Support of Earth and Space Sciences in the New Millennium," AIAA 34th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 960698.

Guy Man and A. Aljabri, "Rapid Prototyping of Autonomous GN&C," AIAA 34th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 960699.

William Rafferty, Gean Fujikawa, and Daniel Rascoe, "Small Spacecraft Telecommunications for the Next Millennium" AIAA 34th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno NV, January 15-18, 1996, Paper # AIAA 960700.

Sereel & Kakuda's paper on Mission Applications of SEP

Ross Jones' Paper on s/c mass breakouts